

Criteria of ergodicity for p -adic dynamical systems in terms of coordinate functions

Andrei Khrennikov* and Ekaterina Yurova
International Center for Mathematical Modelling
in Physics and Cognitive Sciences
Linnaeus University, Växjö, S-35195, Sweden
andrei.khrennikov@lnu.se

March 27, 2013

Abstract

This paper is devoted to the problem of ergodicity of p -adic dynamical systems. Our aim is to present criteria of ergodicity in terms of coordinate functions corresponding to digits in the canonical expansion of p -adic numbers. The coordinate representation can be useful, e.g., for applications to cryptography. Moreover, by using this representation we can consider non-smooth p -adic transformations. The basic technical tools are van der Put series and usage of algebraic structure (permutations) induced by coordinate functions with partially frozen variables. We illustrate the basic theorems by presenting concrete classes of ergodic functions.

1 Introduction

Algebraic and arithmetic dynamics are actively developed fields of general theory of dynamical systems. The bibliography collected by Franco Vivaldi [45] contains 216 articles and books; extended bibliography also can be found in books of Silverman [44] and Anashin and Khrennikov [6]. Such studies are based on combination of number theory and theory of dynamical systems. And, as it often happens in mathematics, combination can induce novel constructions interesting for both areas of research. By Ostrowsky theorem [43]

*Corresponding author.

fields of the real and p -adic numbers are the most natural completions of the field of rational numbers $\mathbf{Q}$. This is one of (purely mathematical) motivations to study dynamical systems in $\mathbf{Q}_p$, see. e.g., [1]–[47] (the complete list of reference would be very long; hence, we refer to [45], [6], [29]). We can also mention applications of p -adic dynamical system in physics, cognitive science and genetics [24], [25] [18], [1], [2], [33]–[35] .

This paper is devoted to the problem of ergodicity of p -adic dynamical systems. Our aim is to present criteria of ergodicity in the terms of the coordinate functions corresponding to the digits in the canonical expansion of p -adic numbers. We remind that any p -adic integer (an element of the ring $\mathbf{Z}_p$) can be expanded into the series:

$$x = x_0 + x_1p + \dots + x_kp^k + \dots, \quad x_j \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}. \quad (1.1)$$

Hence, any map $f : \mathbf{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p$ can be represented in the form: Any function $f : \mathbf{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p$ can be represented as

$$f(x) = \delta_0(x) + p\delta_1(x) + \dots + p^k\delta_k(x) + \dots,$$

where functions $\delta_k(x)$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ are k th digits in a base- p expansion of the number $f(x)$, i.e. $\delta_k : \mathbf{Z}_p \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$. Our aim to find criteria of measure-preserving and ergodicity in terms of functions δ_k . We restrict our study to the class of so called *compatible functions* or in terms of p -adic analysis 1-Lipschitz functions, see Anashin [3]–[5] and also the monograph [6]. The coordinate representation can be useful, e.g., for applications to cryptography. Moreover, by using this representation we can consider non-smooth p -adic transformations.

The basic technical tools are van der Put series and usage of algebraic structure (permutations) induced by coordinate functions with partially frozen variables. (In section 3 we shall explain this technique in details.)

The representation of the function by the *van der Put series* is actively used in p -adic analysis, see e.g. Mahler [38] and Schikhof [43]. Marius van der Put introduced this series in his dissertation “Algebres de fonctions continues p -adiques” at Utrecht Universiteit in 1967, [39]. There are numerous results in studies of functions with zero derivatives, antiderivation [43] obtained using van der Put series. Later van der Put basis was adapted to the case of n -times continuously differentiable functions in one and several variables [17]. First results on applications of the van der Put series in theory of p -adic dynamical systems, the problems of ergodicity and measure preserving, were obtained in [7], see also [49], [8], [48], [36]. In this paper we apply this technique to find criteria of ergodicity in terms of the coordinate representation.

In some theorems (Proposition 3.1 and 3.4) conditions of ergodicity are formulated in terms of integral sums for the Volkenborn integral, see, e.g., Schikhof [43]. One can expect to find formulations of ergodicity in terms of this integral (playing an important role in number theory). However, at the moment this is an open problem.

We illustrate the basic theorems by presenting concrete classes of ergodic functions which satisfy conditions of these theorems.

2 p -adics

Let $p > 1$ be an arbitrary prime number. The ring of p -adic integers is denoted by the symbol $\mathbb{Z}_p$. The p -adic valuation is denoted by $|\cdot|_p$. We remind that this valuation satisfies the *strong triangle inequality*:

$$|x + y|_p \leq \max[|x|_p, |y|_p].$$

This is the main distinguishing property of the p -adic valuation inducing essential departure from the real or complex analysis (and hence essential difference of p -adic dynamical systems from real and complex dynamical systems).

The van der Put series are defined in the following way. Let $f: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ be a continuous function. Then there exists a unique sequence of p -adic coefficients $B_0, B_1, B_2, \dots$ such that

$$f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B_m \chi(m, x) \quad (2.1)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Here the *characteristic function* $\chi(m, x)$ is given by

$$\chi(m, x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |x - m|_p \leq p^{-n} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $n = 1$ if $m = 0$, and n is uniquely defined by the inequality $p^{n-1} \leq m \leq p^n - 1$ otherwise (see Schikhof's book [43] for detailed presentation of theory of van der Put series).

Let $f: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ be a function and let f satisfy the *Lipschitz condition with constant 1* (with respect to the p -adic valuation $|\cdot|_p$):

$$|f(x) - f(y)|_p \leq |x - y|_p$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

We state again that a mapping of an algebraic system A to itself is called *compatible* if it preserves all the congruences of A . It is easy to check that a map $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is Lipschitz one iff it is compatible (with respect to mod p^k , $k = 1, 2, \dots$ congruences).

By Proposition 3.35 [p. 63, [6]] the function $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is compatible iff each coordinate function δ_k , $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ does not depend on $x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}, \dots$, where $x = x_0 + px_1 + p^2x_2 + \dots$ is canonical representation of p -adic number x . Thus the compatible function $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ has the following coordinate representation:

$$f(x_0 + px_1 + \dots + p^k x_k + \dots) = \varphi_0(x_0) + p\varphi_1(x_0, x_1) + \dots + p^k \varphi_k(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k) + \dots, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\varphi_k = \varphi_k(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k)$ are p -valued functions that depend on p -valued variables $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

The space $\mathbb{Z}_p$ is equipped by the natural probability measure, namely, the Haar measure μ_p normalized so that $\mu_p(\mathbb{Z}_p) = 1$.

Recall that a mapping $f : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ of a measurable space $\mathbb{S}$ with a probability measure μ is called measure preserving if $\mu(f^{-1}(S)) = \mu(S)$ for each measurable subset $S \subset \mathbb{S}$.

3 General criteria of ergodicity for any prime p .

Theorem 3.1. *Let the p -adic compatible function $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ has the coordinate representation (2.2). The function f preserves measure if and only if*

1. φ_0 is bijective on the set of residues modulo p ;
2. $\varphi_k(\bar{x}_{k-1}, x_k)$ is bijective on the set of residues modulo p for any $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and fixed values $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) = \bar{x}_{k-1}$.

Proof. Let $f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B_m \chi(m, x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p^{\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor} b_m \chi(m, x)$ be the van der Put representation of the function f and $\bar{x} = x_0 + px_1 + \dots + p^{n-1}x_{n-1}$, $\bar{\bar{x}} = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$, $x_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$. Let us find values of the coefficients b_m , where $m = x_0 + px_1 + \dots + p^{n-1}x_{n-1} + p^n x_n = \bar{x} + p^n x_n$, $x_n \neq 0$. Then $b_{x_0}(f) = B_{x_0}(f) = f(x_0)$, $x_0 \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$,

and

$$\begin{aligned} b_{\bar{x} + p^n x_n}(f) &= \frac{1}{p^n} B_{\bar{x} + p^n x_n}(f) = \frac{f(\bar{x} + p^n x_n) - f(\bar{x})}{p^n} \\ &= (\varphi_n(\bar{\bar{x}}, x_n) - \varphi_n(\bar{\bar{x}}, 0)) + p(\varphi_{n+1}(\bar{\bar{x}}, x_n, 0) - \varphi_{n+1}(\bar{\bar{x}}, 0, 0)) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} b_{x_0}(f) &\equiv \varphi_0(x_0) \pmod{p}; \\ b_{\bar{x}+p^n x_n}(f) &\equiv \varphi_n(\bar{x}, x_n) - \varphi_n(\bar{x}, 0) \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.1 [36] a function f preserves measure if and only if

1. $b_0(f), b_1(f), \dots, b_{p-1}(f)$ establish a complete set of residues modulo p ;
2. $b_{\bar{x}+p^n}(f), \dots, b_{\bar{x}+p^n(p-1)}(f)$ are all nonzero residues modulo p .

Then the first condition is equivalent to bijectivity of φ_0 and the second condition to bijectivity of $\varphi_n(\bar{x}, h)$, $h \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$.

□

Let us introduce new notations for compatible measure-preserving function $f: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$, which has coordinate form (2.2). By Theorem 3.1 for any $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and any fixed $\bar{x}_{k-1} = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})$ the function $\varphi_k(\bar{x}_{k-1}, x_k)$ is a bijective transformation of the set of residues modulo p , i.e. defines permutation on the set $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$. Such permutation we denote as $\varphi_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}$. Product of permutations denote as “ $\circ$ ”, i.e. $\varphi_{k, \bar{y}_{k-1}} \circ \varphi_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}} = \varphi_k(\bar{y}_{k-1}, \varphi_k(\bar{x}_{k-1}, x_k))$, where $x_k \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$.

The function f induces a transformation of the residue ring modulo p^k , i.e. $f_k: x \pmod{p^{k+1}} \mapsto f(x) \pmod{p^{k+1}}$ (correctness of this map follows from compatibility of f). Symbol f_k we also will use to denote coordinate form of its representation, i.e. $f_k: (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k) \mapsto (\varphi_0(x_0), \varphi_1(x_0, x_1), \dots, \varphi_k(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k))$.

Now let us state a general criterion of ergodicity for a compatible function in terms of the coordinate functions.

Theorem 3.2. *Let the p -adic compatible function $f: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ be presented in the coordinate form (2.2), where φ_0 and $\varphi_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, be permutations on the set of residues modulo p . The function f is ergodic if and only if*

1. the map φ_0 is transitive on the set of residues modulo p ;
2. the permutation

$$F_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}} = \varphi_{k, f_{k-1}^{(p^{k-1})}(\bar{x}_{k-1})} \circ \varphi_{k, f_{k-1}^{(p^{k-2})}(\bar{x}_{k-1})} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}$$

is transitive on the set of residues modulo p for any $k = 1, 2, \dots$, where $f_k^{(s)}(\bar{x}_{k-1}) = \underbrace{f_k(f_k(\dots f_k(\bar{x}_{k-1})))}_{s}$, $f_k = f \pmod{p^{k+1}}$ and $f_0 = \varphi_0$.

Proof. Let us find the coordinate representation for $f_k^{(p^k)}(\bar{x}_k)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Note that from compatibility of f it follows that $f_k \bmod p^k = f_{k-1}$. Then we have:

$$f_k^{(p^k)} = (F_0, F_{1, \bar{x}_0}, \dots, F_{k-1, \bar{x}_{k-2}}, F_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_0 &= \underbrace{\varphi_0 \circ \varphi_0 \circ \dots \circ \varphi_0}_{p^{k-1}}; \\ F_{1, \bar{x}_0} &= \varphi_{1, f_1^{(p-1)}(\bar{x}_0)} \circ \varphi_{1, f_1^{(p-2)}(\bar{x}_0)} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{1, \bar{x}_0}; \\ &\dots \\ F_{k-1, \bar{x}_{k-2}} &= \varphi_{k-1, f_{k-2}^{(p^{k-1}-1)}(\bar{x}_{k-2})} \circ \varphi_{k-1, f_{k-2}^{(p^{k-1}-2)}(\bar{x}_{k-2})} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{k-1, \bar{x}_{k-2}}; \\ F_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}} &= \varphi_{k, f_{k-1}^{(p^k-1)}(\bar{x}_{k-1})} \circ \varphi_{k, f_{k-1}^{(p^k-2)}(\bar{x}_{k-1})} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}; \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

We start from the proof that conditions (1), (2) are necessary. Assume that f is ergodic.

By Theorem 4.23 (p.99, [6]) if the function f is ergodic, then, for any $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, the function $f_s: x \bmod p^{s+1} \mapsto f(x) \bmod p^{s+1}$ is transitive on the set of residues modulo p^{s+1} and, in fact, p^{s+1} is the minimal integer such that $f_s^{(p^{s+1})}(\bar{x}_s) = \bar{x}_s$. It means that the permutations $F_0, F_{1, \bar{x}_0}, \dots, F_{k-1, \bar{x}_{k-2}}$ from the coordinate representation of the map $f_k^{(p^k)}$ are identical. Indeed, in this case each permutation is a degree of the identical permutation ϵ . As we know, $f_k^{(p^{k+1})}(\bar{x}_k) = \bar{x}_k$ and p^{k+1} is minimal. Then from the representation

$$f_k^{(p^{k+1})} = f_k^{(p^k)^{(p)}} = \left(\epsilon, \epsilon, \dots, \epsilon, \underbrace{F_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}} \circ \dots \circ F_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}}_p \right)$$

it follows that

$$\underbrace{F_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}} \circ \dots \circ F_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}}_p = \epsilon, \tag{3.2}$$

and, moreover, p is minimal natural number for holding the condition (3.2). Hence, the permutation $F_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}$ is transitive. Since $f_0 = \varphi_0$, then transitivity of the map φ_0 follows from Theorem 4.23 (p.99, [6]).

We now present the proof that conditions (1), (2) are sufficient for ergodicity. We shall use induction with respect to the parameter $k = 0, 1, \dots$, to show transitivity of the functions $f_k: x \bmod p^{k+1} \mapsto f(x) \bmod p^{k+1}$.

For $k = 0$ transitivity of f_0 follows from the relation $f_0 = \varphi_0$ and transitivity of φ_0 follows from the first condition of Theorem.

Let f_{k-1} be transitive on the set of residues modulo p^k . Since $f_k \bmod p^k = f_{k-1}$, then $f_k^{(p^k)} = (\epsilon, \epsilon, \dots, \epsilon, F_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}})$, where $F_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}$ is defined in (3.1) and ϵ is the identity permutation on the set of residues modulo p . By condition (2) of Theorem, the map $F_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}$ is the transitive permutation on the set of residues modulo p . Therefore,

$$f_k^{(p^{k+1})} = f_k^{(p^k)^{(p)}} = \left(\epsilon, \epsilon, \dots, \epsilon, \underbrace{F_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}} \circ \dots \circ F_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}}_p \right) = (\epsilon, \epsilon, \dots, \epsilon),$$

and such p^{k+1} is minimal. It means that f_k is transitive on the set of residues modulo p^{k+1} . Thus we proved that the functions $f_k = f(x) \bmod p^{k+1}$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$ are transitive. Then by Proposition 4.35 (p.105, [6]) the function f is ergodic. $\square$

Comment 3.3. To check a function on ergodicity by using conditions of Theorem 3.2, one should check transitivity of the permutations $F_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Each permutation is a product of permutations $\varphi_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}$, $\bar{x}_{k-1} \in \{0, \dots, p^{k-1} - 1\}$. The order of their appearance in the resulting product (i.e. in $F_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}$) is defined by the sequence of residues modulo p^k

$$\tau_k = \left\{ \bar{x}_{k-1}, f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1}), \dots, f_{k-1}^{(p^k-2)}(\bar{x}_{k-1}), f_{k-1}^{(p^k-1)}(\bar{x}_{k-1}) \right\}.$$

In other words, to check transitivity of the function $f_k = f \bmod p^{k+1}$ one should construct the sequence τ_k , find $F_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}$ and verify its transitivity.

Note that the order of residues modulo p^k in the sequence τ_k is significantly important. This is due to the fact that the symmetric group S_p (permutations on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$) is nonabelian. Therefore, in general by determining $F_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}$ we cannot change the order of the permutations $\varphi_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}$.

Of course, in some special cases the permutations $\varphi_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}$ can commute. Then one can expect finding compact conditions of ergodicity for the corresponding class of functions.

Remark 3.4. Theorem 3.2 can be considered as generalization to the case $p \neq 2$ of Theorem 4.39 (Folklore) from the book [6]. The latter was “known” by people working in cryptography, but without formal 2-adic presentation. (And the authors of [6] do not know any rigorous mathematical proof before the one presented in this book.)

Remark 3.5. In fact, by checking the conditions of ergodicity of Theorem 3.2, one can choose the value of the parameter $\bar{x}_{k-1} = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})$ in an arbitrary way.

Indeed, suppose that the second condition of Theorem 3.2 has been checked for $s = 2, \dots, k-2$ (for $s = 1$ the second condition coincide with first). And it has been shown that the permutations $F_{s, \bar{x}_{s-1}}$ are transitive on the set of residues modulo p^{s+1} . Then the functions f_s are transitive modulo p^{s+1} (otherwise the function f is already non-ergodic and it is not necessarily to check the second condition).

In the second condition one has to check transitivity of the permutation

$$F_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}} = \varphi_{k, f_{k-1}^{(p^{k-1}-1)}(\bar{x}_{k-1})} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}$$

for some $\bar{x}_{k-1} = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})$.

Let $\bar{y}_{k-1} = (y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}) \neq (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) = \bar{x}_{k-1}$. We show that the cyclic structure of the permutations $F_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}$ and $F_{k, \bar{y}_{k-1}}$ coincide. By our assumption the function f_{k-1} is transitive modulo p^k . Then there exist an integer $r \leq p^{k-1} - 1$ such that $f_{k-1}^{(r)}(\bar{x}_{k-1}) = \bar{y}_{k-1}$ and, therefore, $f_{k-1}^{(t)}(\bar{y}_{k-1}) = f_{k-1}^{(t+r)}(\bar{x}_{k-1})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} F_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}} &= \varphi_{k, f_{k-1}^{(p^{k-1}-1)}(\bar{x}_{k-1})} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{k, f_{k-1}^{(r+1)}(\bar{x}_{k-1})} \circ \varphi_{k, f_{k-1}^{(r)}(\bar{x}_{k-1})} \circ \dots \\ &\quad \dots \circ \varphi_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}} = \\ &= \varphi_{k, f_{k-1}^{(p^{k-1}-1-r)}(\bar{y}_{k-1})} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{k, f_{k-1}^{(2)}(\bar{y}_{k-1})} \circ \varphi_{k, f_{k-1}(\bar{y}_{k-1})} \circ \varphi_{k, f_{k-1}^{(r-1)}(\bar{x}_{k-1})} \circ \dots \\ &\quad \dots \circ \varphi_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Consistently making conjugation of the permutation (3.3) by permutations

$\varphi_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}, \dots, \varphi_{k, f_{k-1}^{(r-1)}(\bar{x}_{k-1})}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi_{k, f_{k-1}^{(r-1)}(\bar{x}_{k-1})} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}} \circ F_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}} \circ (\varphi_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}})^{-1} \circ \dots \circ (\varphi_{k, f_{k-1}^{(r-1)}(\bar{x}_{k-1})})^{-1} = \\ &= \varphi_{k, f_{k-1}^{(r-1)}(\bar{x}_{k-1})} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}} \circ \varphi_{k, f_{k-1}^{(p^{k-1}-1-r)}(\bar{y}_{k-1})} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{k, f_{k-1}(\bar{y}_{k-1})} = \\ &= \varphi_{k, f_{k-1}^{(p^k-1)}(\bar{y}_{k-1})} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{k, f_{k-1}^{(p^k-r)}(\bar{y}_{k-1})} \circ \varphi_{k, f_{k-1}^{(p^k-1-r)}(\bar{y}_{k-1})} \circ \dots \\ &\quad \dots \circ \varphi_{k, f_{k-1}(\bar{y}_{k-1})} = F_{k, \bar{y}_{k-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $G = \varphi_{k, f_{k-1}^{(r-1)}(\bar{x}_{k-1})} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}$. Then $G \circ F_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}} \circ G^{-1} = F_{k, \bar{y}_{k-1}}$, i.e., the permutations $F_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}$, $F_{k, \bar{y}_{k-1}}$ are conjugate permutations. It is well known that cyclic structure of conjugate permutations coincide. It means that the property of transitivity for permutation $F_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}$ does not depend on the choice of $\bar{x}_{k-1}$.

Taking into account Remark 3.5 and Theorem 3.2, we state the following theorem.

Theorem 3.6. *Let the p -adic compatible function $f: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ be presented in the coordinate form (2.2), where φ_0 and $\varphi_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}, k = 1, 2, \dots$, are permutations on the set of residues modulo p . The function f is ergodic if and only if*

1. *the map φ_0 is transitive on the set of residues modulo p ;*
2. *the permutations*

$$F_{k,0} = \varphi_{k, f_{k-1}^{(p^{k-1})}(0)} \circ \varphi_{k, f_{k-1}^{(p^{k-2})}(0)} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{k,0}$$

are transitive on the set of residues modulo p , for $k = 1, 2, \dots$, where $f_k^{(s)}(\bar{x}_{k-1}) = \underbrace{f_k(f_k(\dots f_k(\bar{x}_{k-1})))}_{s}$, $f_k \equiv f \pmod{p^{k+1}}$ and $f_0 = \varphi_0$.

To prove this Theorem it is enough to set $\bar{x}_{k-1} = 0$ and to use the result of the Remark 3.5.

Comment 3.7. In fact, Theorem 3.6 states that if one checks ergodicity of a function using the conditions of Theorem 3.2, then one can use an arbitrary value from the set $\mathbb{Z}/p^{k-1}\mathbb{Z}$ as a starting point for constructing a sequence $f_{k-1}^{(s)}$, $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, p^{k-1} - 1\}$. For example, $\bar{x}_{k-1} = 0$.

Corollary 3.8. *Let the p -adic compatible function $f: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ be presented in the coordinate form (2.2), where the subfunctions of the coordinate functions, φ_0 and $\varphi_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}, k = 1, 2, \dots$, are permutations on the set $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ and φ_0 is transitive. Then it is always possible to construct permutations $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k, \dots$ such that by setting $\tilde{\varphi}_{k,0} = g_k, k = 1, 2, \dots$, the corresponding function $\tilde{f}$ which is defined with the aid of subfunctions $\varphi_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}, \bar{x}_{k-1} \neq 0$, and $\tilde{\varphi}_{k,0}$ is ergodic.*

Proof. Let us find permutations $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k, \dots$ by induction. By conditions of Theorem, permutation $\varphi_0 = f_0 \equiv f \pmod{p}$ is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, and, in particular, $\{f_0^{(p-1)}(0), \dots, f_0(0), 0\} = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$. By using notations from Theorem 3.6, we set $G_1 = \varphi_{1, f_0^{(p-1)}(0)} \circ \varphi_{1, f_0^{(p-2)}(0)} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{1, f_0(0)}$. We also choose some transitive permutation H_1 on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

Let $g_1 = G_1^{-1} \circ H_1$. Then set $\tilde{\varphi}_{1,0} = g_1$, and we obtain that permutation $F_{1,0} = \varphi_{1, f_0^{(p-1)}(0)} \circ \varphi_{1, f_0^{(p-2)}(0)} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{1, f_0(0)} \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{1,0} = H_1$ is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, the function $\tilde{f}_1 \equiv \tilde{f} \pmod{p^2}$ is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$. Now

let permutations $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{k-1}, \dots$ have already been constructed, and the function $\tilde{f}_{k-1} \equiv \tilde{f} \bmod p^k$ is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$.

Let us construct a suitable permutation g_k . Let $G_k = \varphi_{k, \tilde{f}_{k-1}^{(p^k-1)}(0)} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{k, \tilde{f}_{k-1}(0)}$ and H_k be some transitive permutation on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. And set $g_k = G_k^{-1} \circ H_k$. Then, for $\tilde{\varphi}_{k,0} = g_k$, we obtain that the permutation $F_{k,0} = \varphi_{k, \tilde{f}_{k-1}^{(p^k-1)}(0)} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{k, \tilde{f}_{k-1}(0)} \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{k,0} = H_k$ is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. And the function $\tilde{f}_k \equiv \tilde{f} \bmod p^{k+1}$ is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p^{k+1}\mathbb{Z}$.

Thus we have shown existence of permutations $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k, \dots$ such that for $\tilde{\varphi}_{k,0} = g_k$ $k = 1, 2, \dots$ permutations $F_{k,0}$ are transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. By conditions of Theorem, φ_0 is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, therefore, the function f is ergodic – by Theorem 3.6. $\square$

Comment 3.9. In the Corollary 3.8, in particular, we answer the following question. Let f be a measure-preserving compatible function. How much should one change such function to get an ergodic function? It turns out that such change, with a certain degree of conditionality, is surprisingly small.

Let us use the coordinate representation (2.2) for our function f . We consider the coordinate function $\varphi_k(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k)$; set $\varphi_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}$, a subfunction of the coordinate function φ_k . Such a subfunction is obtained by fixing the values of the variables $x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}$. (Denote the vector $(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1})$ with fixed values of their coordinates as $\bar{x}_{k-1}$. The subfunction $\varphi_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}$ depends on values of the variable $x_k \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$).

All $\varphi_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}$ are permutations on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ because the function f preserves measure. Then, for the function f , to be ergodic only requires to choose only one series of permutations in a special way, for example, $\varphi_{k,0}, k = 1, 2, \dots$. And other permutations may be arbitrary. The choice of the permutations $\varphi_{k,0}, k = 1, 2, \dots$, imposes the following restrictions:

Let $G_k = \varphi_{k, \tilde{f}_{k-1}^{(p^k-1)}(0)} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{k, \tilde{f}_{k-1}(0)}$, where the order of the permutations in this product is significant. We can assume that this permutation is an arbitrary on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ (because $\varphi_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}, \bar{x}_{k-1} \neq 0$ can be set arbitrary). Then we choose $\tilde{\varphi}_{k,0}$ in such a way that $G_k \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{k,0}$ be a transitive permutation on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

As p is a prime number, then one can write this condition analytically. Namely, $\varphi_{k,0}$ is a solution in permutations $(G_k \circ X)^p = e$, where e is the identical permutation. This means that $\tilde{\varphi}_{k,0}$ can be chosen in $(p-1)!$ variants, i.e. proportion of suitable permutations is $\frac{1}{p}$ of all permutations on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

Corollary 3.10. *Let the p -adic compatible function $f: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ be presented in the coordinate form (2.2), where the subfunctions (of the coordinate functions) $\varphi_0, \varphi_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}, k = 1, 2, \dots$ are permutations on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Suppose that the*

subfunctions have the form:

$$\varphi_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}} = g_k^{n(\bar{x}_{k-1})}, \quad (3.4)$$

where g_k is a permutation on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ and $n(\bar{x}_{k-1})$ is a positive integer (g_k^0 -identity permutation), $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Then the function f is ergodic if and only if

1. φ_0, g_k are transitive permutations;
2. $\sum_{\bar{x}_{k-1}=0}^{p^k-1} n(\bar{x}_{k-1}) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$.

Proof. Let f be an ergodic function. By Theorem 3.6 permutations φ_0 and $F_{k,0} = \varphi_{k, f_{k-1}^{(p^k-1)}(0)} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{k, f_{k-1}(0)} \circ \varphi_{k,0}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$ are transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} F_{k,0} &= \varphi_{k, f_{k-1}^{(p^k-1)}(0)} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{k, f_{k-1}(0)} \circ \varphi_{k,0} = \\ &= g_k^{n(f_{k-1}^{(p^k-1)}(0))} \circ \dots \circ g_k^{n(0)} = \\ &= g_k^{n(p^k-1)+\dots+n(0)} = g_k^{S_k}, \end{aligned}$$

where $S_k = n(p^k - 1) + \dots + n(0)$.

As $F_{k,0}$ is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, then $\text{ord } F_{k,0} = p$.

Let $\text{ord } g_k = \alpha$. Then $p = \text{ord } F_{k,0} = \text{ord } g_k^{S_k} = \frac{\alpha}{\gcd(\alpha, S_k)}$, i.e. p divides α . If the permutation g_k has r_1 cycles of the length 1, r_2 cycles of the length 2, $\dots$, r_p cycles of the length p , and $r_1 + 2r_2 + \dots + pr_p = p$, then $\alpha = \text{ord } g_k = \text{lcm}(i | r_i \neq 0)$. As p is a prime number and p divides α , then the permutation g_k consists of one cycle of length p , i.e. $\alpha = p$ and g_k is transitive permutation. From $p = \frac{\alpha}{\gcd(\alpha, S_k)}$, $\alpha = p$ follows that $\gcd(\alpha, S_k) = \gcd(p, S_k) = 1$, i.e. $S_k = \sum_{\bar{x}_{k-1}=0}^{p^k-1} n(\bar{x}_{k-1}) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

And vice versa, let us find an order of each permutation $F_{k,0}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. By one of the conditions of this corollary $\varphi_0 = f_0 = f \pmod{p}$ is a transitive permutation and, in particular, $\{f_0^{(p-1)}(0), \dots, f_0(0), 0\} = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$. Then $F_{1,0} = \varphi_{1, f_0^{(p-1)}(0)} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{1, f_0(0)} \circ \varphi_{1,0} = g_1^{n(p-1)+\dots+n(0)} = g_1^{S_1}$, where $S_1 = n(p-1) + \dots + n(0)$. By initial conditions g_1 is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, then $\text{ord } g_1 = p$. As $S_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then $\gcd(p, S_k) = 1$ and $\text{ord } F_{1,0} = \text{ord } g_1^{S_1} = \frac{p}{\gcd(p, S_k)} = p$. Thus $F_{1,0}$ is transitive permutation on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, the function $f_1 = f \pmod{p^2}$ is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$.

Computation of the values $\text{ord } F_{k,0}$, $k = 2, 3, \dots$ is performed in a similar way, taking into account that at each step we prove transitivity of the

functions $f_{k-1} \equiv f \pmod{p^k}$. Finally, we obtain that the permutations $F_{k,0}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$ are transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. As φ_0 is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, then the function f is ergodic by Theorem 3.6. $\square$

Comment 3.11. In the first Comment was stated that by Theorem 3.2 to check a function on ergodicity one should check transitivity of the permutation $F_{k,\bar{x}_{k-1}}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. And each such permutation is a product of permutations $\varphi_{k,\bar{x}_{k-1}} \in S_p$, $\bar{x}_{k-1} \in \{0, \dots, p^{k-1} - 1\}$, where S_p is the symmetric group of permutations of the order p . The order of their appearance in the resultant product is defined by the sequence of residues modulo p^k

$$\tau_k = \left\{ \bar{x}_{k-1}, f_{k-1}(\bar{x}_{k-1}), \dots, f_{k-1}^{(p^{k-1}-2)}(\bar{x}_{k-1}), f_{k-1}^{(p^k-1)}(\bar{x}_{k-1}) \right\}.$$

If we suppose that the permutations $\varphi_{k,\bar{x}_{k-1}} \in S_p$, $\bar{x}_{k-1} \in \{0, 1, \dots, p^{k-1} - 1\}$, commute, then the conditions of ergodicity of f are simplified. In the Corollary 3.10 we considered the case such that, for each $k = 1, 2, \dots$, the permutations $\varphi_{k,\bar{x}_{k-1}} \in S_p$ belong to the cyclic group generated by a permutation g_k . In this case, all $\varphi_{k,\bar{x}_{k-1}} \in S_p$ commute, $F_{k,\bar{x}_{k-1}}$ does not depend on the order of elements in the sequence τ_k , and, moreover, $F_{k,\bar{x}_{k-1}} = g_k^\alpha$ for some α depending only on k . Therefore, to verify the transitivity of $F_{k,\bar{x}_{k-1}}$, there is no need to build the sequence τ_k . This simplifies essentially the verification of ergodicity of f .

4 Examples of classes of ergodic functions for $p \neq 2$

Theorem 4.1. *Let the p -adic ($p \neq 2$) compatible function $f: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ be presented in the coordinate form (2.2), where the coordinate functions have the form:*

$$\varphi_{k,\bar{x}_{k-1}}(x_k) = x_k + \alpha(\bar{x}_k), \quad (4.1)$$

$k = 1, 2, \dots$. The function f is ergodic if and only if

1. φ_0 is a transitive (monocycle) permutation on the set of residues modulo p ;
2. $2^{p-2} + \frac{1}{p^k} \sum_{i=0}^{p^k-1} f(i) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, $k = 2, 3, \dots$.

Proof. Note that the function f preserves measure. Indeed, the coordinate function φ_0 is bijective by the assumption, and the coordinate functions $\varphi_{k,\bar{x}_{k-1}}(x_k)$ are bijective as linear polynomials over the field of residues modulo p . Then by the criterion of measure-preserving functions in terms of

coordinate functions, see Theorem 2.1 in [36], the function f is measure-preserving.

For fixed $\bar{x} \in \{0, 1, \dots, p^k - 1\}$ the function $x_k \rightarrow \varphi_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}(x_k)$ is a permutation on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. As in Theorem 3.6, let us consider the permutations

$$F_{k,0} = \varphi_{k, f_{k-1}^{(p^k-1)}(0)} \circ \varphi_{k, f_{k-1}^{(p^k-2)}(0)} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{k,0}(x_k),$$

where $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Here $f_{k-1}^{(s)}(0) = f_{k-1}(f_{k-1}(\dots f_{k-1}(0))\dots)$, the iteration of the s th order, and $f_{k-1}: x \bmod p^k \mapsto f(x) \bmod p^k$. (To be completely formal, instead of 0, we have to use the symbol $\bar{0} = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$. However, to make notation simpler we shall proceed with 0). By the assumption the permutations $\varphi_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}$ are given by linear polynomials of the form $\varphi_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}(x_k) = x_k + \alpha_k(\bar{x})$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} F_{k,0} &= x_k + \alpha_k(f_{k-1}^{(p^k)}(0)) + \alpha_k(f_{k-1}^{(p^k-2)}(0)) + \dots + \alpha_k(0) = \\ &= x_k + \sum_{i=0}^{p^k-1} \alpha_k(f_{k-1}^{(i)}(0)). \end{aligned}$$

Let us show by induction with respect to $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ that the functions $f_k: \mathbb{Z}/p^k \mapsto \mathbb{Z}/p^k$, $f_k(x) \equiv f(x) \bmod p^{k+1}$ are transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p^k$. For $k = 0$, the function f_0 is transitive because $f_0 = \varphi_0$, where φ_0 is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p^k$ by the assumption. Suppose now that f_{k-1} is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p^k$. Then

$$F_{k,0} = x_k + \sum_{i=0}^{p^k-1} \alpha_k(f_{k-1}^{(i)}(0)) = x_k + \sum_{i=0}^{p^k-1} \alpha_k(i).$$

On the other hand, set $x = x_0 + px_1 + \dots + p^k x_k = \bar{x} + p^k x_k$, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x=0}^{p^k-1} f(x) &\equiv \sum_{\bar{x}=0}^{p^k-1} \sum_{i=0}^k p^i \varphi_i(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_i) \equiv \\ &\equiv \sum_{\bar{x}=0}^{p^k-1} \left(p^k \alpha_k(\bar{x}) + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} p^i \varphi_i(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_i) \right) \equiv \\ &\equiv p^k \cdot \sum_{\bar{x}=0}^{p^k-1} \alpha_k(\bar{x}) + \sum_{\bar{x}=0}^{p^k-1} f_{k-1}(\bar{x}) \bmod p^{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

As f_{k-1} is bijective on $\mathbb{Z}/p^{k-1}$, then $\sum_{\bar{x}=0}^{p^k-1} f_{k-1}(\bar{x}) = \frac{p^k(p^k-1)}{2}$, i.e.

$$\sum_{x=0}^{p^k-1} f(x) \equiv p^k \cdot \sum_{\bar{x}=0}^{p^k-1} \alpha_k(\bar{x}) + \frac{p^k(p^k-1)}{2} \pmod{p^{k+1}},$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p^k} \cdot \sum_{x=0}^{p^k-1} f(x) &\equiv \sum_{\bar{x}=0}^{p^k-1} \alpha_k(\bar{x}) + \frac{p^k-1}{2} \equiv \\ &\equiv \sum_{\bar{x}=0}^{p^k-1} \alpha_k(\bar{x}) - 2^{-1} \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $2^{-1} = 2^{p-2} \pmod{p}$. Then by the second condition of this Theorem

$$\sum_{\bar{x}=0}^{p^k-1} \alpha_k(\bar{x}) = \frac{1}{p^k} \cdot \sum_{x=0}^{p^k-1} f(x) + 2^{p-2} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

And, therefore, the permutation $F_{k,0}$ is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. This means that the function f_k is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p^k$. By Theorem 4.23 [p.99, [6]] from transitivity of f_k on $\mathbb{Z}/p^k$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, follows that the function f is ergodic.

Vice versa, let the function f be ergodic function. Then $f \pmod{p} \equiv \varphi_0$ and functions $F_{k,0}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$ are transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ by Theorem 3.6. As $F_{k,0} = x_k + \sum_{i=0}^{p^k-1} \alpha_k(f_{k-1}^{(i)}(0)) = x_k + \sum_{i=0}^{p^k-1} \alpha_k(i)$, then transitivity of $F_{k,0}$ means that $\sum_{i=0}^{p^k-1} \alpha_k(i) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then

$$\frac{1}{p^k} \cdot \sum_{x=0}^{p^k-1} f(x) \equiv \sum_{\bar{x}=0}^{p^k-1} \alpha_k(\bar{x}) + \frac{p^k-1}{2} \equiv \sum_{\bar{x}=0}^{p^k-1} \alpha_k(\bar{x}) - 2^{-1} \pmod{p},$$

i.e. $2^{p-2} + \frac{1}{p^k} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{p^k-1} f(i) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, $k = 2, 3, \dots$ □

Comment 4.2. In the Comment 3.11 was stated that if for each $k = 1, 2, \dots$ all permutations $\varphi_{k,\bar{x}_{k-1}}$, $\bar{x}_{k-1} \in \{0, \dots, p^{k-1} - 1\}$ belong to a cyclic subgroup of the symmetric group S_p , then the conditions of ergodicity of f are simplified. In Theorem 4.1 we considered the case such that the cyclic group is generated by permutation on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, which is given by a linear polynomial $x + c$, $c \neq 0$. In this case, the ergodicity of f is determined by the value of $\frac{1}{p^k} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{p^k-1} f(i) \pmod{p}$, $k = 2, 3, \dots$

Note that such sums appear in the definition of Volkenborn's integral, see, for example, Definition 55.1 [43]. However, real coupling between theory of Volkenborn integration and ergodicity of p -adic dynamical systems has not yet been clarified.

Remark 4.3. The condition $p \neq 2$ is important. Theorem 4.1 cannot be directly generalized to the case $p = 2$. At the same time the authors expect that even in the case $p = 2$ some kind of condition in terms of sums of values of the function f can be found. For a moment, this is an open problem.

The functions satisfying conditions of Theorem 4.1 can be presented without using their coordinate representation.

Let us present the explicit form of p -adic functions, whose coordinate functions have the following form: $\varphi_k(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k) = x_k + \alpha_k(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) = x_k + \alpha_k(\bar{x})$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. In other words, all permutations $\varphi_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}} \in S_p$, $\bar{x}_{k-1} \in \{0, \dots, p^{k-1} - 1\}$, $k = 2, 3, \dots$ are given by linear polynomials $x + c$ over $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let p -adic ($p \neq 2$) compatible function $f: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ be presented in the coordinate form (2.2). Then $\varphi_k(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k) = x_k + \alpha_k(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}) = x_k + \alpha_k(\bar{x})$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, if and only if*

$$f(x) = f(x_0 + px_1 + \dots + p^k x_k + \dots) = \varphi_0(x_0) + (x - x_0) + p \cdot g(x),$$

where $g: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is an arbitrary compatible function.

Proof. Let $f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B_m \chi(m, x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p^{\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor} b_m \chi(m, x)$ be the van der Put representation of the function f . Let us find values of the coefficients b_m for $m \geq p$. Denote $m = x_0 + px_1 + \dots + p^k x_k = \bar{x} + p^k x_k$, $\bar{x} \in \{0, \dots, p^k - 1\}$, $x_k \in \{1, \dots, p - 1\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} B_m &= B_{\bar{x} + p^k x_k} = f(\bar{x} + p^k x_k) - f(\bar{x}) = \\ &= p^k (\varphi_k(\bar{x} + p^k x_k) - \varphi_k(\bar{x})) + p^{k+1}(\dots), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} b_m &= b_{\bar{x} + p^k x_k} \equiv \varphi_k(\bar{x} + p^k x_k) - \varphi_k(\bar{x}) \equiv \\ &\equiv x_k + \alpha_k(\bar{x}) - \alpha_k(\bar{x}) \equiv x_k \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, $b_{\bar{x} + p^k x_k} = x_k + p \check{b}_{\bar{x} + p^k x_k} = x_k + p \check{b}_m$ for suitable p -adic integer $\check{b}_m = \check{b}_{\bar{x} + p^k x_k}$.

We set $f(i) = B_i = b_i \equiv b_i \pmod{p} + p \check{b}_i$, $\check{b}_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, $i = \{0, \dots, p - 1\}$. Let $\varphi_0: \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, where $\varphi_0 \equiv f(x) \pmod{p}$, i.e. φ_0 is a coordinate function from the representation of f in the coordinate form. Note that $\varphi_0(x) = b_0(\pmod{p})\chi(0, x) + \dots + b_{p-1}(\pmod{p})\chi(p - 1, x)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m=p}^{\infty} b_m(\pmod{p}) \cdot p^{\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor} \cdot \chi(m, x) = \\ &= \sum_{m=p}^{\infty} q(m) \chi(m, x) = x - x_0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$q(m) = q(m_0 + pm_1 + \dots + p^{\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor} m_{\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor}) = p^{\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor} m_{\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor},$$

$m_{\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor} \neq 0$ and $x = x_0 + px_1 + \dots + p^k x_k + \dots$.

And let us consider a compatible function $g(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \check{b}_m p^{\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor} \chi(m, x)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} b_m p^{\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor} \chi(m, x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} b_m (\text{mod } p) \cdot p^{\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor} \cdot \chi(m, x) + \\ &+ p \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \check{b}_m p^{\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor} \chi(m, x) = \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} b_m (\text{mod } p) p^{\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor} \chi(m, x) + \\ &+ \sum_{m=p}^{\infty} b_m (\text{mod } p) \cdot p^{\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor} \cdot \chi(m, x) + pg(x) = \\ &= \varphi_0 + (x - x_0) + pg(x). \end{aligned}$$

Vice versa, let $f(x) = f(x_0 + px_1 + \dots + p^k x_k + \dots) = \varphi_0 + (x - x_0) + pg(x)$, $f(x_0 + px_1 + \dots + p^k x_k + \dots) = \varphi_0(x_0) + p \cdot \varphi_1(x_0, x_1) + \dots + p^k \cdot \varphi_k(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k) + \dots$, and $f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} b_m p^{\lfloor \log_p m \rfloor} \chi(m, x)$.

Set $m = x_0 + px_1 + \dots + p^k x_k = \bar{x} + p^k x_k$, we obtain that for $m \geq p$

$$\begin{aligned} b_m &= b_{\bar{x} + p^k x_k} \equiv \frac{1}{p^k} (f(\bar{x} + p^k x_k) - f(\bar{x})) \equiv \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{p^k} (\varphi_0(x_0) + (\bar{x} + p^k x_k - x_0) + \\ &+ p \cdot g(\bar{x} + p^k x_k) - (\varphi_0(x_0) + (\bar{x} - x_0) + p \cdot g(\bar{x}))) \equiv \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{p^k} (p^k x_k + p \cdot (g(\bar{x} + p^k x_k) - g(\bar{x}))) \equiv x_k + \frac{1}{p^{k-1}} (g(\bar{x} + p^k x_k) - g(\bar{x})) \text{ mod } p. \end{aligned}$$

As the function g is compatible, then $g(\bar{x} + p^k x_k) \equiv g(\bar{x}) \text{ mod } p^k$ and $\frac{1}{p^{k-1}} (g(\bar{x} + p^k x_k) - g(\bar{x})) \equiv 0 \text{ mod } p$. Then $b_m \equiv b_{\bar{x} + p^k x_k} \equiv x_k \text{ mod } p$. On the other hand, $b_m \equiv b_{\bar{x} + p^k x_k} \equiv \varphi_k(\bar{x} + p^k x_k) - \varphi_k(\bar{x}) \text{ mod } p$, and, therefore, for any fixed $\bar{x} = x_0 + \dots + p^{k-1} x_{k-1}$ we have

$$\varphi_k(\bar{x} + p^k x_k) - \varphi_k(\bar{x}) \equiv \varphi_k(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_k) - \varphi_k(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}, 0) \equiv x_k \text{ mod } p.$$

Then $\varphi_k(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_k) \equiv x_k + \varphi_k(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}, 0) \text{ mod } p$. $\square$

Theorem 4.4. Let p -adic ($p \neq 2$) compatible function $f: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ have the form $f(x) = f(x_0 + px_1 + \dots + p^k x_k + \dots) = \varphi_0(x_0) + (x - x_0) + pg(x)$, where $g: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is a compatible function and $\varphi_0: \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \mapsto \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

The function f is ergodic if and only if

1. φ_0 is transitive (monocycle) permutation on the set of residues modulo p ;
2. $\frac{1}{p^{k-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{p^k-1} g(i) \not\equiv 2^{p-2} \pmod{p}$, $k = 2, 3, \dots$.

Proof. Consider coordinate representation of the function f . From the Lemma 4.1 it follows that the functions φ_k have the form of linear polynomials, i.e. $\varphi_k(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k) = x_k + \alpha_k(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}) = x_k + \alpha_k(\bar{x})$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Thus the function f satisfies the conditions of Theorem 4.1. For the proof it is sufficient to obtain an expression for $2^{p-2} + \frac{1}{p^k} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{p^k-1} f(i) \pmod{p}$, $k = 2, 3, \dots$ and use Theorem 4.1. Setting $x = x_0 + px_1 + \dots + p^{k-1}x_{k-1}$, we see that for $k = 2, 3, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned}
2^{p-2} + \frac{1}{p^k} \cdot \sum_{x=0}^{p^k-1} f(x) &\equiv \\
&\equiv 2^{p-2} + \frac{1}{p^k} \left(p^{k-1} \sum_{x_0=0}^{p-1} \varphi_0(x_0) + \sum_{x=0}^{p^k-1} (x - x_0) + p \sum_{x=0}^{p^k-1} g(x) \right) \equiv \\
&\equiv 2^{p-2} + \\
&+ \left(\frac{p-1}{2} + \frac{p^{k-1}-1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{p^{k-1}} \sum_{x=0}^{p^k-1} g(x) \equiv \\
&\equiv -2^{p-2} + \frac{1}{p^{k-1}} \sum_{x=0}^{p^k-1} g(x) \pmod{p}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 4.5 (see Lemma 4.41, p.112, [6]). *Let $f: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$, $f(x) = c + x + p(h(x+1) - h(x))$, where $h: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is compatible function and $p \neq 2$. If $c \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then f is an ergodic function.*

Proof. As $f(x) \pmod{p} \equiv x_0 + c \pmod{p}$, where $x = x_0 + px_1 + \dots$, then $f(x) \pmod{p}$ is transitive permutation once $c \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. From compatibility of h follows that $h(p^k) - h(0) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$. Then for $k = 2, 3, \dots$

$$\frac{1}{p^{k-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{p^k-1} (h(i+1) - h(i)) \equiv \frac{h(p^k) - h(0)}{p^k - 1} \equiv 0 \not\equiv 2^{p-2} \pmod{p}.$$

Thus the function f is ergodic by Theorem 4.4.

□

Corollary 4.6 (Corollary 4.42, p.113, [6]). *Let $f: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$, $f(x) = c + r \cdot x + p(h(x+1) - h(x))$, where $h: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is a compatible function and $p \neq 2$. If $c \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $r \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, then f is an ergodic function.*

Proof. As $f(x) \pmod{p} \equiv x_0 + c \pmod{p}$, where $x = x_0 + px_1 + \dots$, then $f(x) \pmod{p}$ is transitive permutation once $c \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $r \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. From compatibility of h follows that $h(p^k) - h(0) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$. Then for $k = 2, 3, \dots$ and $r = 1 + p \cdot \check{r}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{p-2} + \frac{1}{p^k} \cdot \sum_{x=0}^{p^k-1} f(x) &\equiv 2^{p-2} + \frac{1}{p^k} \left(c \cdot p^k + r \cdot \frac{p^k(p^k-1)}{2} + p(h(p^k) - h(0)) \right) \equiv \\ &\equiv 2^{p-2} + c + r \cdot \frac{p^k-1}{2} \equiv c - p \cdot \check{r} \equiv c \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

By assumption, $c \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, therefore, $2^{p-2} + \frac{1}{p^k} \cdot \sum_{x=0}^{p^k-1} f(x) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, $k = 2, 3, \dots$. Then the function f is ergodic by Theorem 4.1. $\square$

Thus Theorems 4.1 and 4.4 describe ergodic functions from the following class. We consider such functions, in which the coordinate functions have the form $\varphi_k(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k) = x_k + \alpha_k(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}) = x_k + \alpha_k(\bar{x})$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. In other words, here all permutations $\varphi_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}} \in S_p$, $\bar{x}_{k-1} \in \{0, \dots, p^{k-1} - 1\}$, $k = 2, 3, \dots$ are given by linear polynomials $x + c$ over $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

By Lemma 4.1 such functions can be represented in the equivalent form $f(x) = f(x_0 + px_1 + \dots + p^k x_k + \dots) = \varphi_0(x_0) + (x - x_0) + p \cdot g(x)$, where $g: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ - compatible function.

In Corollaries 4.5 and 4.6 we considered the case where the function $g: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ has the representation $g(x) = h(x+1) - h(x)$, where $h: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is compatible function. For such functions (see, for example, [6]) conditions of ergodicity were obtained. In Corollaries 4.5 and 4.6 we present new proof of these results.

Note that the functions from Corollaries 4.5 and 4.6 (or [ANKH]) contained in the class of functions considered in Theorems 4.1 and 4.4. In other words, Theorems 4.1 and 4.4 generalize the results of [6].

Theorem 9.20 (part 2) [p.286, [6]] describes compatible ergodic 2-adic functions of the form: $f(x_0 + 2x_1 + \dots + 2^k x_k + \dots) = c + a_0 \cdot x_0 + a_1 \cdot 2x_1 + \dots + a_k \cdot 2^k x_k + \dots$, where $a_k \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$, $c \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. Note that the coefficients before x_k provide compatibility of the function f .

The next statement describes the p -adic functions of such form for all odd p .

Theorem 4.7. *Let $f: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ ($p \neq 2$) be a compatible function of the form $f(x_0 + px_1 + \dots + p^k x_k + \dots) = c + a_0 \cdot x_0 + a_1 \cdot px_1 + \dots + a_k \cdot p^k x_k + \dots$,*

where $a_k \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$, $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. The function f is ergodic if and only if $c \not\equiv 0 \pmod p$ and $a_k \equiv 1 \pmod p$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$.

Proof. Let a function $f: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ be represented in the coordinate form. Then for suitable p -valued function $l_k(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_k(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k) &\equiv \frac{1}{p^k} (f(x_0 + px_1 + \dots + p^k x_k) - \\ &\quad - f(x_0 + px_1 + \dots + p^{k-1} x_{k-1}) \pmod{p^k}) \pmod p \equiv \\ &\equiv a_k x_k + l_k(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\bar{x}_{k-1} = (x_0, \dots, x_{k-1})$. For any fixed $\bar{x}_{k-1}$ we consider functions $\varphi_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}: \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ defined so that $\varphi_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}(x) = \varphi(x, \bar{x}_{k-1})$. Suppose that the function f is ergodic. By Theorem 3.6 functions $F_{k,0} = \varphi_{k, f_{k-1}^{(p^{k-1})}(0)} \circ \varphi_{k, f_{k-1}^{(p^{k-2})}(0)} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{k,0}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and $f_0 = \varphi_0$ are transitive permutations on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, where $f_k \equiv f \pmod{p^{k+1}}$. As for suitable $\beta_k \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{k,0} &= \left(a_k x_k + l_k(f_{k-1}^{(p^{k-1}-1)}(0)) \right) \circ \dots \circ (a_k x_k + l_k(0)) = \\ &= a_k^{p^k} \cdot x_k + \beta_k, \end{aligned}$$

then $a_k^{p^k} \equiv a_k \equiv 1 \pmod p$. From transitivity of $\varphi_0 = f_0 \equiv f \pmod p \equiv c + a_0 x_0 \pmod p$ follows that $c \not\equiv 0 \pmod p$ and $a_0 \equiv 1 \pmod p$.

Vice versa, by assumption $a_k \equiv 1 \pmod p$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $\varphi_k(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k) = x_k + l_k(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})$ for suitable p -valued function $l_k(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})$. This, in particular, means that the function f satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4.1. Let us check the function f on ergodicity. As $c \not\equiv 0 \pmod p$ and $a_0 \equiv 1 \pmod p$, then $\varphi_0 = f_0 \equiv f \pmod p \equiv c + x_0 \pmod p$ is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Note that for 2^{-1} - an inverse to the residue 2 in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p^k} \sum_{i=0}^{p^k-1} f(i) &= \frac{1}{p^k} \sum_{x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}} (c + a_0 x_0 + a_1 p x_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} \cdot p^{k-1} x_{k-1}) = \\ &= \frac{1}{p^k} \left(p^k c + a_0 \cdot p^{k-1} \frac{p(p-1)}{2} + a_1 \cdot p^k \frac{p(p-1)}{2} + \dots + a_{k-1} \cdot p^{2k-2} \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \right) = \\ &= c + a_0 \frac{p-1}{2} = c - a_0 \cdot 2^{-1} \equiv c - 2^{-1} \pmod p. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $2^{-1} + \frac{1}{p^k} \sum_{i=0}^{p^k-1} f(i) \equiv c \not\equiv 0 \pmod p$. Then by Theorem 4.1, the function f is ergodic. $\square$

Theorem 4.8. *Let p -adic ($p \neq 2$) compatible and measure-preserving function $f: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ be presented in the coordinate form (2.2), where $\varphi_k(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k)$ are such p -valued functions that*

$$\varphi_k(x_0, \dots, x_k) = x_k A_k(x_0, \dots, x_{S-1}) + \alpha_k(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}) = x_k A_k(\bar{x}_{S-1}) + \alpha_k(\bar{x}_{k-1}),$$

$k = S, S+1, \dots$ for some fixed integer S .

The function f is ergodic if and only if holds simultaneously

1. $f_{S-1} \equiv f \pmod{p^S}$ be transitive (monocycle) permutation on the set of residues modulo p^S ;
2. $\prod_{i=0}^{p^S-1} A_k(i) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, $k = S, S+1, \dots$;
3. for $k = S+1, S+2, \dots$

$$2^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{p^S-1} \tau_k^{(i)} - \sum_{i=0}^{p^S-1} \frac{\tau_k^{(i)}}{p^k} [p^{k-S}(f^{(i+1)}(0) \pmod{p^S}) - \\ - \sum_{\beta=0}^{p^{k-S}-1} f(f^{(i)}(0) \pmod{p^S} + p^S \beta)] \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

and for $k = S$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p^S-1} \frac{\tau_S^{(i)}}{p^S} [f(f^{(i)}(0) \pmod{p^S}) - f^{(i+1)}(0) \pmod{p^S}] \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

where $\tau_k^{(i)} = \prod_{j=i+1}^{p^S-1} A_k(f^{(j)}(0))$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, p^k - 2$ and $\tau_k^{(i)} = 1$ for $i = p^k - 1$.

Proof. As always, we denote as $\varphi_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}: \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, the functions obtained from the coordinate functions $\varphi_k(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k)$ by fixing the first $k-1$ variables $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}$. We will assume that the vector $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})$ sets a residue modulo p^k , i.e. $x_0 + px_1 + \dots + p^{k-1}x_{k-1} \in \{0, 1, \dots, p^k - 1\}$. Since f preserves the measure, then by Theorem 3.1 func-

tions $\varphi_{k, \bar{x}_{k-1}}$ and φ_0 are permutations on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Note that for $k = S, S+1, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{k,0} &= \varphi_{k, f_{k-1}^{(p^{k-1})}(0)} \circ \varphi_{k, f_{k-1}^{(p^{k-2})}(0)} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{k,0} = \\
&\left(A_k(f_{k-1}^{(p^{k-1})}(0)) \cdot x_k + \alpha_k(f_{k-1}^{(p^{k-1})}(0)) \right) \circ \dots \circ (A_k(0) \cdot x_k + \alpha_k(0)) = \\
&x_k \left(\prod_{i=0}^{p^{k-1}} A_k(f_{k-1}^{(i)}(0)) \right) + \\
&+ \left(\alpha_k(f_{k-1}^{(p^{k-1}-1)}(0)) + \sum_{i=0}^{p^{k-1}-2} \alpha_k(f_{k-1}^{(i)}(0)) \cdot \left(\prod_{j=i+1}^{p^{k-1}} A_k(f_{k-1}^{(j)}(0)) \right) \right) = \\
&= x_k \cdot Q_k + L_k.
\end{aligned}$$

By induction on the $k = S, S+1, \dots$ we show that $F_{k,0} = \varphi_{k, f_{k-1}^{(p^{k-1})}(0)} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{k,0}$ are transitive permutations on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

From compatibility and transitivity of the function f modulo p^S follows that $f_{S-1}^{(p^S)}(0) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^S}$ and $f_{S+m-1}^{(p^{m+S})}(0) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^S}$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$ for $k = S, S+1, \dots$. As by assumption p -valued functions A_k depend only on variables $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{S-1}$, then any sequence $\{A_k(0), A_k(f_{k-1}(0)), \dots, A_k(f_{k-1}^{(p^{k-1})}(0))\}$, $k = S, S+1, \dots$ has period of the length p^S . Then from the second condition of Theorem follows that

$$Q_k = \prod_{i=0}^{p^{k-1}} A_k(f_{k-1}^{(i)}(0)) = \prod_{i=0}^{p^{k-1}} A_k(i) = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{p^{S-1}} A_k(i) \right)^{p^{k-S}} = 1.$$

And

$$\begin{aligned}
L_k &= \alpha_k(f_{k-1}^{(p^{k-1})}(0)) + \sum_{i=0}^{p^{k-1}-2} \alpha_k(f_{k-1}^{(i)}(0)) \cdot \left(\prod_{j=i+1}^{p^{k-1}} A_k(f_{k-1}^{(j)}(0)) \right) = \\
&= \sum_{\alpha=0}^{p^{k-S}-1} \alpha_k(f_{k-1}^{(p^{S-1}+P^S\alpha)}(0)) + \\
&+ \sum_{i=0}^{p^{S-2}} \left(\prod_{j=i+1}^{p^{S-1}} A_k(f_{k-1}^{(j)}(0)) \right) \left(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{p^{k-S}-1} \alpha_k(f_{k-1}^{(i+P^S\alpha)}(0)) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\tau_k^{(i)} = \prod_{j=i+1}^{p^{S-1}} A_k(f_{k-1}^{(j)}(0))$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, p^{k-1} - 2$, $k = S, S+1, \dots$,

then

$$L_k = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{p^{k-S}-1} \alpha_k(f_{k-1}^{(p^{S-1}+P^S\alpha)}(0)) + \sum_{i=0}^{p^{S-2}} \tau_k^{(i)} \left(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{p^{k-S}-1} \alpha_k(f_{k-1}^{(i+P^S\alpha)}(0)) \right).$$

Thus, $F_{k,0} = x_k + L_k$, $k = S, S+1, \dots$

Suppose we have already shown that $F_{k-1,0}$ is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, and $f_{k-1} \equiv f \pmod{p^k}$ is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$. Let us check that $F_{k,0} = x_k + L_k$ is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. It is enough to show that $L_k \neq 0$. As f is a compatible function and by the induction $f_{k-1} \equiv f \pmod{p^k}$ is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$, then for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, p^S - 1\}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ f_{k-1}^{(i+P^S\alpha)}(0) \pmod{p^k} \mid \alpha = 0, 1, \dots, p^{k-S} - 1 \right\} = \\ & = \left\{ f_{k-1}^{(i)}(0) \pmod{p^S + p^S \cdot \beta} \mid \beta = 0, 1, \dots, p^{k-S} - 1 \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

and $f_{k-1}^{(i)}(0) \equiv f_{S-1}^{(i)}(0) \pmod{p^S}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\alpha=0}^{p^{k-S}-1} \alpha_k(f_{k-1}^{(i+P^S\alpha)}(0)) = \sum_{\beta=0}^{p^{k-S}-1} \alpha_k \left(f_{S-1}^{(i)}(0) \pmod{p^S + p^S \beta} \right) = \\ & = \sum_{\beta=0}^{p^{k-S}-1} \alpha_k \left(f^{(i)}(0) \pmod{p^S + p^S \beta} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L_k &= \sum_{\alpha=0}^{p^{k-S}-1} \alpha_k \left(f^{(p^{S-1})}(0) \pmod{p^S + p^S \alpha} \right) + \\ &+ \sum_{i=0}^{p^{S-2}} \tau_k^{(i)} \left(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{p^{k-S}-1} \alpha_k \left(f^{(i)}(0) \pmod{p^S + p^S \alpha} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

As by assumption A_k depend only on variables $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{S-1}$, then

$$A_k(f_{k-1}^{(i)}(0)) = A_k(f_{k-1}^{(j)}(0) \pmod{p^S}) = A_k(f_{S-1}^{(j)}(0)).$$

And, therefore, for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, p^{k-1} - 2\}$

$$\tau_k^{(i)} = \prod_{j=i+1}^{p^S-1} A_k(f_{S-1}^{(j)}(0)) = \prod_{j=i+1}^{p^S-1} A_k(f^{(j)}(0)).$$

For the case $k > S$ we introduce auxiliary notations

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\Delta} &= (\Delta_0, \Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_{S-1}), \bar{\beta} = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{k-S-1}), \\ \Delta &= \Delta_0 + p\Delta_1 + \dots + p^{S-1}\Delta_{S-1} \in \{0, 1, \dots, p^S - 1\}, \\ \beta &= \beta_0 + p\beta_1 + \dots + p^{k-S-1}\beta_{k-S-1} \in \{0, 1, \dots, p^{k-S-1} - 1\}.\end{aligned}$$

Using coordinate representation of the function f and relation 4.2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\beta=0}^{p^{k-S}-1} f(\Delta + p^S\beta) &= \sum_{\beta=0}^{p^{k-S}-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} p\varphi_i + p^k\varphi_k(\bar{\Delta}, \bar{\beta}, 0) \right) = \\ &= \sum_{\beta=0}^{p^{k-S}-1} f(\Delta + p^S\beta) \bmod p^k + p^k \sum_{\beta=0}^{p^{k-S}-1} \alpha_k(\Delta + p^S\beta) \equiv \\ &\equiv p^{k-S}f(\Delta) \bmod p^S + p^S \frac{p^{k-S}(p^{k-S}-1)}{2} + p^k \sum_{\beta=0}^{p^{k-S}-1} \alpha_k(\Delta + p^S\beta) \bmod p^{k+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Then, for $2^{-1} = \frac{1-p}{2}$, $p \neq 2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\beta=0}^{p^{k-S}-1} \alpha_k(\Delta + p^S\beta) &\equiv \\ \equiv 2^{-1} - \frac{1}{p^k} \left(p^{k-S}(f(\Delta) \bmod p^S) - \sum_{\beta=0}^{p^{k-S}-1} f(\Delta + p^S\beta) \right) \bmod p. \quad (4.3)\end{aligned}$$

In the case $k = S$,

$$f(\Delta) = \sum_{i=0}^{S-1} p^i\varphi_i + p^S\varphi_S(\bar{\Delta}, 0) \equiv f(\Delta) \bmod p^S + p^S\alpha_S(\Delta) \bmod p^{S+1},$$

or, respectively,

$$\alpha_S(\Delta) = (f(\Delta) - f(\Delta) \bmod p^S) \bmod p. \quad (4.4)$$

This relation we will use in the proof of the base of induction $k = S$.

Thus, substituting 4.3 in the relation for L_k , and assuming $\tau_k^{(p^S-1)} = 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}L_k &= 2^{-1} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{p^S-1} \tau_k^{(i)} - \sum_{i=0}^{p^S-1} \frac{\tau_k^{(i)}}{p^k} \cdot \\ &\cdot \left[p^{k-S}(f^{i+1}(0) \bmod p^S) - \sum_{\beta=0}^{p^{k-S}-1} f(f^i(0) \bmod p^S + p^S\beta) \right] \bmod p.\end{aligned}$$

Then by the third condition of this Theorem $L_k \neq 0$. And the function $F_{k,0} = x_k + L_k$ is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, and moreover, $f_k \equiv f \pmod{p^{k+1}}$ is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p^{k+1}\mathbb{Z}$.

Let us show that $F_{S,0}$ is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, and $f_S \equiv f \pmod{p^{S+1}}$ is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p^{S+1}\mathbb{Z}$. As stated above, $F_{S,0} = x_S + L_S$, where for $i = 0, 1, \dots, p^{S-1} - 2$

$$L_S = \alpha_S(f_{S-1}^{(p^S-1)}(0)) + \sum_{i=0}^{p^{S-1}-2} \tau_S^{(i)}(\alpha_S(f_{S-1}^{(i)}(0))),$$

$$\tau_S^{(i)} = \prod_{j=i+1}^{p^S-1} A_S(f^{(j)}(0)).$$

Set $\tau_S^{(p^S-1)} = 1$, and from relation 4.4, we obtain

$$L_S = \sum_{i=0}^{p^S-1} \frac{\tau_S^{(i)}}{p^S} \cdot [f(f^i(0) \pmod{p^S}) - f^{i+1}(0) \pmod{p^S}] \pmod{p}.$$

From the third condition of this Theorem follows that $L_S \neq 0$, i.e. $F_{S,0} = x_S + L_S$ is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. As by initial conditions $f_{S-1} \equiv f \pmod{p^S}$ is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p^S\mathbb{Z}$ and $F_{S,0}$ is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, then $f_S \equiv f \pmod{p^{S+1}}$ is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p^{S+1}\mathbb{Z}$.

Thus, permutations $F_{k,0} = \varphi_{k,f_{k-1}^{(p^k-1)}(0)} \circ \varphi_{k,f_{k-1}^{(p^k-2)}(0)} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{k,0}$ are transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ for $k = S, S+1, \dots$.

Let us show that φ_0 and $F_{k,0}$, $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, S-1\}$ are transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. As f is compatible function and $f_{S-1} \equiv f \pmod{p^S}$ is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p^S\mathbb{Z}$, then $f_k \equiv f \pmod{p^{k+1}}$, $k \in \{0, \dots, S-2\}$ is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p^{k+1}\mathbb{Z}$. Then $f_k^{(p^k)}(p^k x_k) = p^k F_{k,0}(x_k)$, $x_k \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$, $k = 1, \dots, S-1$. As functions f_k are transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p^{k+1}\mathbb{Z}$, then $F_{k,0}$ is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

Thus, $f_0 = \varphi_0$ and $F_{k,0}$ is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Then the function f is ergodic by Theorem 3.2.

Vice versa, let f be an ergodic function. Then from Theorem 3.2, in particular, follows that $f_{S-1} \equiv f \pmod{p^S}$ is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p^S\mathbb{Z}$ (particularly, the first condition of this Theorem is satisfied). And $F_{k,0}$ is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ for $k = S, S+1, \dots$.

As shown above, $F_{k,0} = Q_k x_k + L_k$, $k = S, S+1, \dots$, where

$$Q_k = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{p^S-1} A_k(i) \right)^{p^{k-S}}$$

and L_k are set as in the third condition of this Theorem.

From transitivity of $F_{k,0} = Q_k x_k + L_k$ follows that $Q_k = 1$, $L_k \neq 0$. Indeed, suppose that $L_k = 0$. Then $F_{k,0}(0) = 0$, which contradict with transitivity of $F_{k,0}$. Suppose that for $L_k \neq 0$ the value $Q_k \neq 1$. Then the congruence $Q_k x_k + L_k = x_k \pmod p$ has solution, and therefore, the permutation $F_{k,0}$ has a fixed point. This contradicts transitivity of $F_{k,0}$.

Relation $L_k \neq 0$, $k = S, S+1, \dots$ establishes the validity of the third condition of this Theorem. To verify the second condition we note that for $k = S, S+1, \dots$

$$1 = Q_k = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{p^S-1} A_k(i) \right)^{p^{k-S}} \equiv \prod_{i=0}^{p^S-1} A_k(i) \pmod p.$$

□

Theorem 4.8 can be stated in the following equivalent form.

Theorem 4.9. *Let p -adic ($p \neq 2$) compatible and measure-preserving function $f: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ be presented in the coordinate form (2.2), where $\varphi_k(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k)$ are such p -valued functions that*

$$\varphi_k(x_0, \dots, x_k) = x_k A_k(x_0, \dots, x_{S-1}) + \alpha_k(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}) = x_k A_k(\bar{x}_{S-1}) + \alpha_k(\bar{x}_{k-1}),$$

$k = S, S+1, \dots$ for some fixed integer S .

The function f is ergodic if and only if holds simultaneously

1. $f_S \equiv f \pmod{p^{S+1}}$ be transitive (monocycle) permutation on the set of residues modulo p^{S+1} ;
2. $\prod_{i=0}^{p^S-1} A_k(i) \equiv 1 \pmod p$, $k = S+1, S+2, \dots$;
3. for $k = S+1, S+2, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{p^S-1} \tau_k^{(i)} - \sum_{i=0}^{p^S-1} \frac{\tau_k^{(i)}}{p^k} [p^{k-S} (f^{(i+1)}(0) \pmod{p^S}) - \\ & - \sum_{\beta=0}^{p^{k-S}-1} f(f^{(i)}(0) \pmod{p^S + p^S \beta})] \neq 0 \pmod p, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau_k^{(i)} = \prod_{j=i+1}^{p^S-1} A_k(f^{(j)}(0))$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, p^S - 2$ and $\tau_k^{(i)} = 1$ for $i = p^S - 1$.

Proof. For the proof of this Theorem it is sufficient to check that the conditions of Theorem 4.8 are equivalent to transitivity of $f_S \equiv f \pmod{p^{S+1}}$ modulo p^{S+1} . This follows from the conditions for $k = S$ of Theorem 4.8, see its proof. Let $f_S \equiv f \pmod{p^{S+1}}$ is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p^{S+1}\mathbb{Z}$. Then $f_{S-1} \equiv f \pmod{p^S}$ is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p^S\mathbb{Z}$, and $F_{S,0}$ is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

As was shown in the proof of Theorem 4.8, $F_{S,0} = Q_S x_S + L_S$, where $Q_S = \prod_{i=0}^{p^S-1} A_S(i)$ and

$$L_S = \sum_{i=0}^{p^S-1} \frac{\tau_S^{(i)}}{p^S} [f(f^{(i)}(0) \pmod{p^S}) - f^{(i+1)}(0) \pmod{p^S}] \pmod{p},$$

where $\tau_S^{(i)} = \prod_{j=i+1}^{p^S-1} A_S(f^{(j)}(0))$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, p^S - 2$, and $\tau_S^{(i)} = 1$ for $i = p^S - 1$. As $F_{S,0}$ is transitive on $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, then $Q_S \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and $L_S \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. $\square$

Comment 4.10. Theorems 4.8 and 4.9 describe ergodic functions such that their coordinate functions $\varphi_k(x_0, \dots, x_k)$ are given by linear (with respect to x_k) polynomials, where coefficients for x_k depend on no more than S variables $x_0, \dots, x_S$ for some fixed value S . Note that, in contrast to the general criterion of ergodicity (see Theorems 3.2 and 3.6), where it is required to calculate the values of all iterations $f^{(i)}(0) \pmod{p^k}$, $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, p^k - 1\}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, to check ergodicity of functions from the class represented in Theorems 4.8, 4.9 we need to calculate the iterations for the *limited number of values*, namely, $f^{(i)}(0) \pmod{p^S}$, $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, p^S - 1\}$, for every $k = S, S+1, \dots$.

Results of Theorems 4.8 and 4.9 can be used to describe the ergodic compatible p -adic functions, which additionally are uniformly differentiable modulo p . As defined in Definition 3.27 and 3.28 [p.58, 60 [6]] the function $f: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is uniformly differentiable function modulo p , if for any sufficiently large integer k (i.e. for $k > N$, where N -some fixed integer), comparison $f(x + p^k h) \equiv f(x) + p^k h \cdot \partial f(x) \pmod{p^{k+1}}$ is satisfied for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $h \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Such minimal N we denote via S .

Corollary 4.11. *Let $f: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ ($p \neq 2$) be a compatible uniformly differentiable modulo p function. The function f is ergodic if and only if*

1. $f_S \equiv f \pmod{p^{S+1}}$ be transitive (monocycle) permutation on the set of residues modulo p^{S+1} ;
2. $\prod_{i=0}^{p^S-1} \partial f(i) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$;

3. for $k = S + 1, S + 2, \dots$

$$2^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{p^S-1} \tau^{(i)} - \sum_{i=0}^{p^S-1} \frac{\tau^{(i)}}{p^k} [p^{k-S}(f^{(i+1)}(0) \bmod p^S) - \sum_{\beta=0}^{p^{k-S}-1} f(f^{(i)}(0) \bmod p^S + p^S \beta)] \not\equiv 0 \bmod p,$$

where $\tau^{(i)} = \prod_{j=i+1}^{p^S-1} \partial f(f^{(j)}(0))$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, p^S - 2$ and $\tau^{(i)} = 1$ for $i = p^S - 1$.

Proof. As the function f is compatible, then it can be presented in the coordinate form $f(x_0 + px_1 + \dots + p^k x_k + \dots) = \varphi_0(x_0) + p\varphi_1(x_0, x_1) + \dots + p^k \varphi_k(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k) + \dots$, where $\varphi_k(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k)$ are p -valued functions. Then

$$\varphi_k(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k) = \frac{f(x_0 + \dots + p^k x_k) - f(x_0 + \dots + p^{k-1} x_{k-1}) \bmod p^k}{p^k} \bmod p.$$

As f is uniformly differentiable modulo p function, then for $k > S$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(x_0 + \dots + p^k x_k) - f(x_0 + \dots + p^{k-1} x_{k-1})}{p^k} &\equiv \\ &\equiv x_k \cdot \partial f(x_0 + \dots + p^{k-1} x_{k-1}) \bmod p. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_0 + \dots + p^{k-1} x_{k-1}) &\equiv f(x_0 + \dots + p^{k-1} x_{k-1}) \bmod p^k + \\ &+ p^k \alpha_k(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) \bmod p^{k+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_k(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})$ is p -valued function.

Then

$$\varphi_k(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k) = x_k \cdot (\partial f(x_0 + \dots + p^{k-1} x_{k-1}) \bmod p) + \alpha_k(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1}).$$

By Proposition 3.35 [p. 63 [6]] the periodic function $\partial f(x_0 + \dots + p^{k-1} x_{k-1})$ has period p^S , i.e.

$$\partial f(x_0 + \dots + p^{k-1} x_{k-1}) \equiv \partial f(x_0 + \dots + p^{S-1} x_{S-1}) \bmod p^S,$$

and, therefore, for $k \geq S$

$$\partial f(x_0 + \dots + p^{k-1} x_{k-1}) \equiv \partial f(x_0 + \dots + p^{S-1} x_{S-1}) \bmod p.$$

Denote p -valued function $\partial f(x_0 + \dots + p^{S-1}x_{S-1}) \bmod p$, $k \geq S$ as $A(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{S-1})$. Thus, for $k \geq S$, we obtain

$$\varphi_k(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k) = x_k \cdot A(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{S-1}) + \alpha_k(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}).$$

This shows that compatible uniformly differentiable modulo p functions satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4.9 (as well as Theorem 4.8). To complete the proof it remains to use the criterion of ergodicity from Theorem 4.9. $\square$

Comment 4.12. At the Corollary 4.11 describes compatible uniformly differentiable modulo p ergodic functions. Thus, Open question 4.60 [p.132, [6]] are fully resolved. Note that compatible uniformly differentiable modulo p^2 ergodic functions are described by V. Anashin, see, for example, Theorem 4.55 [p.126 [6]].

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the joint grant of Swedish and South-African Research Councils, “Non-Archimedean analysis: from fundamentals to applications” and the grant of the Faculty of natural Science and Engineering of Linnaeus University “Mathematical Modeling of Complex Hierarchic Systems.”

References

- [1] S. Albeverio, A. Khrennikov, P. E. Kloeden, Memory retrieval as a p -adic dynamical system, *BioSystems*. 49(1999) 105–115.
- [2] S. Albeverio, A. Khrennikov, B. Tirozzi, D. De Smedt, p -adic dynamical systems, *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*. 114(1998) 276–287.
- [3] V. Anashin, Uniformly distributed sequences of p -adic integers, *Mathematical Notes*. 55(1994) 109–133.
- [4] V. Anashin, Uniformly distributed sequences of p -adic integers, II, *Discrete Math. Appl.* 12(6)(2002) 527–590.
- [5] V. Anashin, Ergodic Transformations in the Space of p -adic Integers, in: p -adic Mathematical Physics. 2-nd Int. Conference (Belgrade, Serbia and Montenegro, 21 September 2005), *AIP Conference Proceedings*. 826(2006) 3–24.

- [6] V. Anashin, A. Khrennikov, Applied Algebraic Dynamics, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics vol 49, Walter de Gruyter (Berlin — New York), 2009.
- [7] V. S. Anashin, A. Yu. Khrennikov and E. I. Yurova, Characterization of ergodicity of p -adic dynamical systems by using the van der Put basis. Doklady Mathematics, 86 (2011) 306-308.
- [8] V. S. Anashin, A. Yu. Khrennikov and E. I. Yurova, Ergodicity of dynamical systems on 2-adic spheres. Doklady Mathematics 86 2012 843-845.
- [9] D. K. Arrowsmith, F. Vivaldi, Some p -adic representations of the Smale horseshoe, Phys. Lett. A. 176(1993) 292–294.
- [10] D. K. Arrowsmith, F. Vivaldi, Geometry of p -adic Siegel discs, Physica D. 71(1994) 222–236.
- [11] R. Benedetto, p -adic dynamics and Sullivans no wandering domain theorem, Compos. Math. 122(2000) 281–298.
- [12] R. Benedetto, Hyperbolic maps in p -adic dynamics, Ergod. Theory and Dyn. Sys. 21(2001) 1–11.
- [13] R. Benedetto, Components and periodic points in non-Archimedean dynamics, Proc. London Math. Soc. 84(2002) 231–256.
- [14] J.-L. Chabert, A.-H. Fan, Y. Fares, Minimal dynamical systems on a discrete valuation domain, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems - Series A. 25(2009) 777–795.
- [15] Z. Coelho, W. Parry, Ergodicity of p -adic multiplication and the distribution of Fibonacci numbers, Topology, ergodic theory, real algebraic geometry, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 202(2001) 51–70.
- [16] A. De Smedt, A. Khrennikov, A p -adic behaviour of dynamical systems, Rev. Mat. Complut. 12(1999) 301–323.
- [17] S. De Smedt, Orthonormal bases for p -adic continuous and countinuously differentiable functions, Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal. 2 (1)(1995) 275 – 282.
- [18] CONS D. Dubischar, Gundlach V.M., Steinkamp O., Khrennikov A. , Attractors of random dynamical systems over p -adic numbers and a model of noisy cognitive processes. *Physica D*, **130**, 1-12 (1999).

- [19] A.-H. Fan, M.-T. Li, J.-Y. Yao, D. Zhou, p -adic affine dynamical systems and applications, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I.* 342(2006) 129–134.
- [20] A.-H. Fan, M.-T. Li, J.-Y. Yao, D. Zhou, Strict ergodicity of affine p -adic dynamical systems, *Adv. Math.* 214(2007) 666–700.
- [21] A.-H. Fan, L. Liao, Y. F. Wang, D. Zhou, p -adic repellers in $\mathbb{Q}_p$ are subshifts of finite type, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris.* 344(2007) 219–224.
- [22] C. Favre, J. Rivera-Letelier, Théorème d'équidistribution de Brolin en dynamique p -adique, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris.* 339(2004) 271–276.
- [23] M. Gundlach, A. Khrennikov, K.-O. Lindahl, On ergodic behaviour of p -adic dynamical systems. *Infinite Dimensional Analysis, Quantum Prob. and Related Fields*, **4**, N. 4, 569-577 (2001).
- [24] A. Khrennikov, Non-Archimedean analysis: quantum paradoxes, dynamical systems and biological models. Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1997.
- [25] A. Khrennikov, Human subconscious as the p -adic dynamical system. *J. of Theor. Biology.* **193**, 179-196 (1998).
- [26] A. Khrennikov, M. Nilsson, N. Mainetti, Non-Archimedean dynamics. In *P-adic numbers in number theory, analytic geometry and functional analysis*. Collection of papers in honour N. De Grande-De Kimpe and L. Van Hamme. Ed. S. Caenepeel. *Bull. Belgian Math. Society*, 141-147, december (2002).
- [27] A. Khrennikov, M. Nilsson, R. Nyqvist, The asymptotic number of periodic points of discrete polynomial p -adic dynamical systems. *Contemporary Math.*, **319**, 159-166 (2003).
- [28] A. Khrennikov, M. Nilsson, Behaviour of Hensel perturbations of p -adic monomial dynamical systems. *Analysis Mathematica*, **29**, 107-133 (2003).
- [29] A. Khrennikov, M. Nilsson, p -adic deterministic and random dynamics, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2004.
- [30] A. Khrennikov, Small denominators in complex p -adic dynamics. *Indag. Mathem.*, **12** (2), 177-189 (2001).

- [31] A. Khrennikov and P.-A. Svensson, Attracting points of polynomial dynamical systems in fields of p -adic numbers. *Izvestiya Mathematics* 71 (2007) 753-764.
- [32] A. Khrennikov, S. V. Kozyrev, Genetic code on the diadic plane. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, **381**, 265-272 (2007).
- [33] A. Khrennikov, S. Kozyrev, 2-Adic numbers in genetics and Rumer's symmetry. *Doklady Mathematics*, **81** (1), 128-130 (2010).
- [34] A. Y. Khrennikov, Gene expression from 2-adic dynamical systems *Proc. of the Steklov Inst. of Math.*, **265**, N 1, 131-139 (2009).
- [35] A. Khrennikov, A. Kozyrev, 2-adic clustering of the PAM matrix. *J. Theor. Biology*, **261**, 396-406 (2009).
- [36] A. Khrennikov, E. Yurova, Criteria of measure-preserving for p -adic dynamical systems in terms of the van der Put basis. *Journal of Number Theory*, 133(2) (2013) 484-491.
- [37] K-O. Lindhal, On Siegel disk linearization theorem for fields of prime characteristic, *Nonlinearity*. 17(2004) 745–763.
- [38] K. Mahler, p -adic numbers and their functions, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1981.
- [39] M. van der Put, Algèbres de fonctions continues p -adiques, Universiteit Utrecht, 1967.
- [40] J. Rivera-Letelier, Dynamique des fonctions rationnelles sur des corps locaux, PhD thesis, Orsay, 2000.
- [41] J. Rivera-Letelier, Dynamique des fonctions rationnelles sur des corps locaux, *Astérisque*. 147(2003) 147–230.
- [42] J. Rivera-Letelier, Espace hyperbolique p -adique et dynamique des fonctions rationnelles, *Compos. Math.* 138(2003) 199–231.
- [43] W.H. Schikhof, Ultrametric calculus. An introduction to p -adic analysis, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1984.
- [44] J. H. Silverman, The arithmetic of dynamical systems, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 241 (2007).
- [45] F. Vivaldi, Algebraic and arithmetic dynamics, <http://www.maths.qmul.ac.uk/~fv/database/algdyn.pdf>

- [46] F. Vivaldi, The arithmetic of discretized rotations, in A. Y. Khrennikov, Z. Rakic, I. V. Volovich (Eds.), *p*-adic Mathematical Physics, AIP Conference Proceedings, Melville, New York, 826, 2006, pp. 162–173.
- [47] F. Vivaldi, I. Vladimirov, Pseudo-randomness of round-off errors in discretized linear maps on the plane, *Int. J. of Bifurcations and Chaos*. 13(2003) 3373–3393.
- [48] E.I. Yurova, On measure-preserving functions over $\mathbf{Z}_3$. *Ultrametric Analysis, and Applications*, 4 (2012) 326–335.
- [49] E.I. Yurova, Van der Put basis and *p*-adic dynamics, *p*-Adic Numbers, Ultrametric Analysis, and Applications. 2 (2)(2010) 175–178.